

FREDERICK A. ROMERO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
THE BONAVENTURE HOTEL
404 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 522A
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90071
(213)454-2233

April 21, 2009

Members of the Judiciary Committee
California State Senate
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Members of the Judiciary Committee:

RE: THE RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY ACT

To provide an effective remedy to victims of the Judicial Branch we submit a proposed Act.

Due to the willingness of the California Courts to protect government agents at any and all expense, because of corruption of the truth seeking process by public employees, Judges render remedies ineffective, especially when litigants proceed without counsel.

California is sending a message to foreigners that they are not safe in California and if the European Union Sanctions California [EX. 15] it shall adversely affect our economy.

Members of the Judiciary Committee California State Senate

April 21, 2009

Our concern is:

- 1. Public employees are covering up public corruption which is on the rise by involving CCP-391 and other defenses.**
- 2. California Courts are allowing this corruption to continue and are deciding cases on technicalities for public employees.**
- 3. The failure of the California Courts to allow hearings on the merits is a De Facto “green light” to public employees to continue with their corrupt practices.**
- 4. There is no available remedy to the victims of the public employees and for those shut out of the Courts by the Judicial Branch.**

Los Angeles County authorities submitted a false return to the search warrant [EX. 1] to help agents of the State of Arizona [EX. 2] steal the software [EX. 3].

During his depositions [EX. 6], Richard Goldstein of the Los Angeles County District Attorney’s Office admitted to submitting a false return to the search warrant [EX. 5 pp 38, lines 12-25].

Lawyers for Los Angeles County consistently coached the witnesses into not answering questions [EX. 6].

To prevent hearing on the merits, lawyers for Los Angeles County invoked the Vexatious Litigant Statutes [EX. 7] and my client deposited \$50,000.00 [EX. 8].

Members of the Judiciary Committee California State Senate

April 21, 2009

The Los Angeles County Superior Court returned to declare the case on the merits [EX. 9, 10], nevertheless the Trial Court warned appeal bond [EX. 11].

However, the Appellate Court returned to decide the appeal on the [EX. 12, 13] merits and the California Supreme Court denied review [EX. 14].

As a Marine Vietnam Veteran with two Purple Hearts, I believe the California legislature has the obligation to the people and businesses in California to provide a remedy to those affected.

I enclose herewith a copy of the proposed Act. You may contact me at the above number should you have any questions.

Respectfully,



Frederick A. Romero
Attorney for Anant K. Tripathi

far